

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAGHVENDRA SINGH,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT ST. ANDRE, et al.,

Defendant.

No. 2:24-cv-03149-DC-JDP (PS)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
THIS ACTION

(Doc. No. 3)

Plaintiff Raghvendra Singh proceeds *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On January 13, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that this action be dismissed due to Plaintiff's failure to state a cognizable claim. (Doc. No. 3.) Further, the magistrate judge found that "granting [P]laintiff leave to amend in this case would be futile" because Plaintiff has filed several complaints in this court that have been dismissed for failure to state a claim and lack of subject matter jurisdiction. (*Id.* at 3.) Specifically, Plaintiff previously filed a complaint containing substantially similar allegations that was dismissed for failure to state a cognizable claim. (*Id.*) Accordingly, the magistrate judge recommended that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed without leave to amend. (*Id.* at 4.)

Those findings and recommendations were served upon Plaintiff and contained notice that

1 any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (*Id.* at 3.) To date,
2 no objections to the pending findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in
3 which to do so has now passed.

4 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a
5 *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the
6 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

7 Accordingly:

8 1. The findings and recommendations issued on January 13, 2025 (Doc. No. 3) are
9 ADOPTED in full;
10 2. Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed without leave to amend; and
11 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

12

13

14

IT IS SO ORDERED.

15

Dated: February 3, 2025

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



Dena Coggins
United States District Judge